

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD VERNON CUPP,

No. C 14-1283 MMC

Plaintiff,

**ORDER RE: BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR  
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME**

v.

MARK AZZOUNI, et al.,

Defendants.

/

Before the Court is plaintiff's "Motion to Extend Time . . . to File First Amended Complaint . . . , filed May 20, 2014. The motion is noticed for hearing June 27, 2014, and states a response is due one day prior "[u]nless this court deems [] otherwise." (See Mot. at 2.)

Pursuant to the Civil Local Rules of this district, a party responding to a motion to change time must, unless otherwise ordered, file such response "no later than 4 days after receiving the motion," see Civil L.R. 7-1(a)(2); 6-3(b), and, unless deemed necessary by the court, no hearing is held, see Civil L.R. 6-3(d).

Accordingly, the June 27, 2014 hearing date is hereby VACATED, and defendants are hereby DIRECTED to file any opposition or other response to the motion no later than

//

//

1  
2 May 27, 2014, at which time, absent further order, the matter will stand submitted.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4  
5 Dated: May 22, 2014  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

  
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge